From:	<pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre>
Sent:	Saturday, October 9, 2010 9:06 PM
To:	@ithaka.org>
Cc:	@ithaka.org>;
	@ithaka.org>;
Subject:	@jstor.org> RE: Please block 18.0.0.0/8 in the firewalls
C 1	
responsible f	o late to the party. I'll be in touch with MIT directly. Note that they reported a visiting scholar for the first incident. Once we get a report on this incident from them, if it happens again, we should hink about them and what is really going on here.
	the last incident, we implemented the Literatum Abuse Tools to block when 5000 sessions are the same IP in a 60 minute period. Once we're settled, can we see if that did or did not work as
	Message
From: Sent: Saturda	ay, October 09, 2010 6:50 PM
То:	
Cc: Subject: Re:	Please block 18.0.0.0/8 in the firewalls
	repleted the ip block and I'm now seeing it deny the abusive traffic. Also, there is a new GSS rule to 1/8 to 1/8 so, we can use them for whatever testing we want their punishment is to be sent to 1/8.
I'm rolling re	estarting the servers in that got locked up in access log synchronization nonsense.
On Oct 9, 20	10, at 6:14 PM, wrote:
	The servers in are now broken. I'm rolling restarting them but not unlocking them so that we non only after the scraper is gone otherwise, they might all lock up again immediately.
> > Also, a nev > >	w idea might be to just rate limit 18.0.0.0/8 to like 56kb :).
> On Oct 9, 2	2010, at 5:45 PM, wrote:

>> The MIT scraper is back he's been at it all day from 18.55.5.100. Please deny their entire ip rate 8.0.0.0/8.	nge
>> Thanks much!	
>>	
>>	
>	