From:	GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=
Sent:	Monday, October 11, 2010 2:33 PM
To:	@ithaka.org>
Cc:	@ithaka.org>;
	@ithaka.org ;
	@Ithaka.org>;
	 ;
	<a href="mailto:size-state-sta</th></tr><tr><th></th><th> (withaka.org>
Subject:	RE: Update: JSTOR & MIT

Thanks

Agreed on all fronts. Once I receive their response, I will forward it to the group with a suggested response, based on our normal procedure, but incorporating these elements and you can guide me on going stronger or deeper as needed. Other inputs welcome as well.



Subject: RE: Update: JSTOR & MIT

Thanks,

Does sound quite probable that this is an open proxy issue. I suggest we also ask MIT to scan for other open proxies, given that we had a situation with them a couple of weeks ago as well. If it's not an open proxy (that is, if the infringer is on-site or locatable/identifiable), I'd like -- as you already note -- confirmation of deletion of harvested content. I'd like to understand with some specificity how they go about obtaining this confirmation and ascertaining its veracity. And, how do they "deal with" these situations, beyond requesting confirmation of deletion? Are they able to tie the activity to a former "visiting scholar" or other individual? If so, are they willing to work with us to pursue more stringent law enforcement efforts (I'm not saying that we would in this circumstance, but I'm not necessarily satisfied with letting things go simply because the activity "stopped"; again, this is industrial theft and it's happening on a large scale or organizations all over). Also, open proxy is one risk and we should consider what if any follow up is possible re tracking down the content stolen from locations far away, but I also have real concerns about our content being downloaded more locally to hard drives or exported elsewhere. So, there may be different follow up depending on the type of infringement occurring.

In any event, this is one of the reasons for wanting to implement discrete watermarking or identifiers, should we in time find our content re-purposed by other sites.

Original Message
From: South Manday Ortology 11, 2010 12:47 PM
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 12:47 PM To:
Cc:
Subject: Update: JSTOR & MIT
Afternoon Update,
Still no word from MIT, but I suspect it will come shortly. That said, and wanting to be prepared, if there are any details or contingencies for reinstatement, we should be developing those now. They will likely come back and say it's taken care of again. They may or may not offer a reason. An immediate recurrence is highly unlikely, whether they have truly taken care of it or not, so it will be hard to solicit proof.
If I were forced to guess, I think they will report back that they identified a compromised User Name and Password and a bunch of referring access from IPs around the globe (typically some combination of China, Russia, and a smattering of Eastern European, Asian and South American origins). Some schools think that blocking those referring IPs is sufficient, which it is not, but isn't a bad addition. Hackers generally use Open Proxies to fake their actual location and can find an alternate Open Proxy to use quite readily. Only changing the password or disabling the offending Username and Password is an acceptable solution.
In cases like these, we ask them to confirm that the identity responsible has been dealt with, we also ask that they confirm deletion of harvested content, but if it is from a referring IP abroad, this user could be anyone/anywhere.
Anyway, if there are special requests or requirements to gain reinstatement, we should have them at the ready.
Thanks,
Original Message
From:
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 11:04 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: Extreme robotic activity of JSTOR at MIT
Thanks Thanks
There was one Facebook post at midnight, a normal user from MIT (at least via his profile he lists the MIT Network in Facebook), having trouble. I have not responded, wanting to give MIT at least the morning to touch

Looping in and and I brought then up to speed last night.

base. Still no word from MIT.

JSTOR | Portico
@ithaka.org

On Oct 11, 2010, at 10:40 AM, which is a second of the control of
> Good to see this response. I fully understand our need to be down until this is remedied, but I'm also mindful of the potential loss of goodwill from innocent MIT users who rely on us. Has received any inquiries on this front?
>
>Original Message
> From:
> Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2010 9:43 PM
> To:
> Subject: Fw: Extreme robotic activity of JSTOR at MIT
>
> Fyi
> Original Message
> From: [mailto: @MIT.EDU]
> Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2010 08:15 PM
> To:
> Cc:
> Subject. RE. Extreme following of 351 OR at WH1
> Thank you, Your action was entirely appropriate, and I appreciate your courtesy in letting me know. It is infuriating that MIT's security appears unable to stop this pattern. We will redouble our efforts to solve the problem.
>
>
> From: @ithaka.org]
> Sent: Saturday, October 09, 2010 11:15 PM
> To:
> Subject: Extreme robotic activity of JSTOR at MIT
> Dear
> I wanted to let you know about an extreme step we have taken this evening. Our staff have blocked access to JSTOR from MIT. This is a highly unusual step and one we do not take lightly. We have had to do so because someone is systematically attempting to download large parts of the JSTOR database from within MIT's IP

range. They use robots to open a session, download a PDF, open a new session, download another PDF, and keep repeating at a high rate. Not only is this a problem because it is beyond the terms of the license, but the downloading is so extensive that it impacts other users and has even brought some of our servers down. We worked through a similar incident at MIT three weeks ago and thought that the activity was being done by a visiting scholar who had left. But it has started again at an even faster rate. I am not writing you to complain about the activity; I just wanted you to be aware of the extreme step we have taken and why.

> Our staff have communicated with your staff and will be working to get MIT access back up just as soon as possible.

> I'll keep you posted as I hear more.

> Best regards,

>