

From: [REDACTED] </O=ITHAKA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2010 12:02 PM
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ithaka.org>
Subject: RE: Restore MIT IP Addresses

It was done before I was aware of it. I am fine with 1, OK with 3, not looking at 2 at the moment. The last time this happened, it happened n a Saturday and Sunday, I don't expect it to recur during the week. That said, if you guys are nervous about 1, let's go with 3, but I'd prefer 1.

■
-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@ithaka.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2010 11:56 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Restore MIT IP Addresses

As for blocking all of 18. instead of a more specific 18.[REDACTED], was that your idea or [REDACTED]?

Do let me know if you have an opinion regarding 1 vs 2 vs 3.

On 10/12/10 11:47 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:

>
> [[http://\[REDACTED\]](http://[REDACTED])
> [REDACTED]

>
> [REDACTED] commented on OPS-1845:

> -----
>

> Given that [REDACTED] is disabled, and the MIT source address group is confining MIT to this disabled VIP,
> I suspect that MIT is receiving no DNS responses for www.jstor.org at all.

>
> Enabling [REDACTED] would put other innocent victims onto the single princeton server as well,
> so that doesn't seem like a very good option.

>
> I could do any of these things:

- > 1. put all of MIT back into the main pool with everyone else.
- > 2. confine MIT to princeton with a more carefully thought out method that requires a lot more configuration.
- > 3. change the [REDACTED]-confined source pool to be only the narrow 18.55.5.0/24 instead of the broad 18.0.0.0/8
- > (meaning that only this narrow network won't get DNS response, instead of all of MIT getting no

response).

>

>

>

>

>> Restore MIT IP Addresses

>> -----

>>

>> Key: OPS-1845

>> URL: [http://\[REDACTED\]](http://[REDACTED])

>> Project: Operations

>> Issue Type: Task

>> Reporter: [REDACTED]

>> Assignee: [REDACTED]

>> Priority: Urgent

>>

>> [REDACTED] is asking that the MIT range be restored. [REDACTED] asked that we take a metered approach and ease them back in if we can. I realize the whole Class A range is blocked at the firewall, but if we could enable the IPs not involved in this latest incident (aka, leave the Class C range suspended) that would be ideal in my estimation. Please advise.

>