

From: [REDACTED] </O=ITHAKA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2010 10:04 AM
To: '[REDACTED]' <[REDACTED]@MIT.EDU>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ithaka.org>
Cc: '[REDACTED]' <[REDACTED]@mit.edu>
Subject: RE: 10:00 am Update: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Thanks [REDACTED].

I appreciate your candor here. I have dealt with many cases over the years and understand the difficulties inherent with tracking down individual users. I am hopeful we can use this opportunity to work together towards building more stable, sustainable and secured access to JSTOR. We are meeting as a larger group to discuss this matter further this afternoon and I am wondering if you could provide one point of clarification for that conversation.

Understanding that you may not be able to identify the individual, where you able to identify the credentials used to access MIT authorization for this activity? That is, was there a shared UN/PW used for guests or an open port on a proxy used in this case. Basically, the concern is, as we sort out the IP configurations necessary with you, could this or any user use the same authorization methodology to do this again or has the pathway been identified and locked down?

As for your IP configurations and establishing an access point within your range. We have the following IP addresses currently installed for MIT. Please let me know which ones to maintain and which to remove as needed and we'll get right to it.

18.*.*.*
128.30-31.*.*
128.52.*.*
129.55.*.*
192.52.61-66.*
198.125.160-163.*
198.125.176-192.*

Best,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JSTOR | Portico

[REDACTED]@ithaka.org

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@MIT.EDU]

Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 5:04 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 10:00 am Update: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Hello [REDACTED], I wanted to give you an update before the weekend.

At this time we have gone as far as we can in identifying the individual involved in these incidents. Our records and logs related to this activity do not allow us to definitively identify the guest. We appreciate your offer of more granular log information, but our IS&T group does not believe that such files will allow us to reach the point of identification.

We can, however, take a significant step to prevent recurrence by moving to the new protocol I'd mentioned below. Since it sounds as if that would be welcome and workable on the JSTOR end, I hope we can pursue that next week.

I would be happy to discuss any aspect of this with you further, and I'm sorry we do not have more details to report in terms of the incidents.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MIT Libraries

P [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@mit.edu

<http://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly>

Thank You [REDACTED],

I appreciate your response here. It appears we still have a ways to go to reach resolution, but I am glad to assist.

First, this activity is not continuing at the moment. Given that we saw it twice in two weeks, starting on a Saturday, I will hazard a guess that if this does recur, it will begin again on a Saturday. That said, if an when it does recur, we will be denying IP ranges significant enough to prevent it from continuing, while hopefully avoiding the need to block the entire range again. Internally, we are agreed on this point.

Second, we typically follow each case of excessive downloading with a three step process for considering the incident resolved...

acknowledgement that MIT staff will be monitoring your systems closely for any recurrence, as we are, until we can reach resolution.

Incident on 9/25 & 9/26

IP = 18.55.6.215
Start = 25-SEP-10 05:06:49.109524 PM
End = 26-SEP-10 04:24:54.297995 AM
Total Sessions = 1,256,249
Total Articles Downloaded = 453,570
Total Journals Affected = 562

Incident on 10/9

IP = 018.055.005.100
Start = 2010-10-09 14:53:18 from
End = 2010-10-09 19:08:01
Total Sessions = 8,515
Total Articles Downloaded = 8,422
Total Journal Affected = 714

Best,

██████████

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ [mailto:██████████@MIT.EDU]

Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2010 12:44 PM

To: ██████████

Cc: ██████████

Subject: RE: 10:00 am Update: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Hello ██████████ and ██████████,

Our investigations here point to the same guest that was involved in the 9/27 incident. We don't have enough information to follow the trail completely, but the signs suggest that the same guest user was responsible for this latest activity. To pursue this further, our IS&T group would need more information. Specifically, they are wondering if you are seeing any robotic activity from MIT currently and if so, whether you have any information about the IP addresses involved.

Given that it appears all of this excessive use was caused by a guest visitor at MIT, we have been considering next steps, and would like to suggest that we move to a new access model that will eliminate use by guests. We have recently developed an additional authorization layer that we can apply to particular products to prevent access by guests/walkins. We've tried this approach with one or two publishers where we had seen repeated excessive use, and it has stemmed the problem in those cases.

We would orchestrate this change by changing the proxy configuration on this end, and then we'd ask you to change the list of acceptable MIT IPs to only our proxy server's address -- a single IP.

If this sounds like an acceptable approach, let's discuss the next steps. To carry out the change, I'd have JSTOR work with [REDACTED], copied here.

Best,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
MIT Libraries

P [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@mit.edu

<http://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly>

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@ithaka.org]

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2010 10:09 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: 10:00 am Update: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Hello Again,

We have requested that the IP range be unblocked at the firewall and that process is currently underway. I will confirm when that is accomplished and report the IPs and timestamps surrounding the event shortly.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@MIT.EDU]

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:24 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

[REDACTED], thanks very much. We appreciate it.

Looking to the future, would it be possible to clarify that JSTOR will follow the protocol that was ultimately used here, shutting down not the class A range but the class C range, should an excessive use case emerge

again?

We have not had a history of excessive use of JSTOR content from MIT, so the problems do not seem at this time to be widespread. In addition, we are finding that the industry norm at this time is shut down of the specific offending IP.

We can see that in some cases (as here, where the initial suspension did not stop the misuse) moving to suspend the class C range is a reasonable response. But it would be very helpful on our end if we could work out an agreement that a shutdown of the class A range will not be part of the standard initial response protocol for excessive use cases.

We look forward to your thoughts --

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MIT Libraries

P [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@mit.edu

<http://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly>

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@ithaka.org]

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:03 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your reply. I will ask our [REDACTED] staff to reinstate the suspended Class C range as soon as possible and will confirm once completed.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@MIT.EDU]

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:01 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: JSTOR Abuse at MIT: All IPs Blocked

Importance: High

Best,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JSTOR

[REDACTED]@<mailto:[REDACTED]@ithaka.org>ithaka.org

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that helps the academic community use digital technologies to preserve the scholarly record and to advance research and teaching in sustainable ways.