To: Subje	
[<u>htt</u>	
	updated OPS-1891:
Com	nponent/s: Literatum Site Operations
	on support request for scripting at Literatum Admin Tool Sessions Abuse Rule
>>	
>	Key: OPS-1891
>	URL: http://
>	Project: Operations
>	Issue Type: Task
>	Components: Literatum Site Operations
>	Reporter:
>	Assignee:
>	Priority: Medium
>	
	mary: I turned the sessions by time abuse rule on to in the week after the MIT abuse recurred and the y hour caught nothing.
> Caug	: 1000 sessions per IP per hour = permanent block ght a fish in Southwest Jiatong University who were in the middle of a "trial". Only one of their many IPs so got busted by the 300 PDF download rule. We shut down the trial and slapped them on the wrist, but I
Bugzill	and to unblock the 1000 sessions fella and got a Literatum based error. See screen shots and details in the la http:// is asking to run a SQL query and seed to dig deeper. Can one of you wonderful gents assist me here.
implen	act: None right now, that IP can't access JSTOR and they aren't participants, but I am reticent to nent the rule until we can unblock those that get jacked. I believe we could get to a pretty low threshold ght catch MIT or MITesque activity, but I need to ratchet down further to get there.

> For Fun: Can the same someone take a look at the block itself. It would be helppful to have an expert opinion on whether this fired on a per server or per server center basis. Assuming we are safe in presuming it doesn't

account for MDC since MIT had no issues with the 400K.

> For Style: Under what component should this live?

@jstor.org>

Monday, October 25, 2010 9:39 PM

From:

Sent:

>

--

This message is automatically generated by JIRA.

If you think it was sent incorrectly contact one of the administrators: http://

For more information on JIRA, see: http://www.atlassian.com/software/jira