

**From:** [REDACTED] </O=ITHAKA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 28, 2011 3:00 PM  
**To:** 'Heymann, Stephen (USAMA)' <Stephen.Heymann@usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: MIT Update: It's worse than we know

---

... and this.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 28, 2011 2:58 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MIT Update: It's worse than we know

An additional point to note.

As you look at [REDACTED] first chart. Note that only the December 26<sup>th</sup> incident hit our radar. With [REDACTED] rough estimate of 2.8 million + the 500K taken in the 9/25 incident, I am extrapolating that we may well see similar spikes on those months that went unrecognized, as we do in December.

Known incidents (9/25 + 10/9 + [REDACTED] Nov/Dec analysis) = 3.5 million to date

Unknown incidents (spikes we may see for October and September + activity since January) = ????????

Finally, there has been no assertion that this activity "started" on 9/25 so there may be more unrecognized activity prior to 9/25.

With roughly 4-5 million "real" articles (not front matter/back matter/indexes)

I think nearly all or all of the corpus is a small intellectual leap.

All of that said, it might help us to know more about the hard drive(s) they claim to have recovered and what was on them. This doesn't mean, of course, that the data wasn't upload to another location or stored elsewhere offline or online as well.

[REDACTED]