From:	@jstor.org>
Sent:	Thursday, October 28, 2010 4:31 PM
To:	@ithaka.org>
•	[JIRA] Reopened: (OPS-1891) Atypon support request for scripting at Literatum Admin Tool Sessions Abuse Rule

[http://
	reopened OPS-1891:
	Assignee: (was: (was:)
i	s asking for another DB Query. Can we take a look see?
$> A^{2}$	typon support request for scripting at Literatum Admin Tool Sessions Abuse Rule
>	
>	Key: OPS-1891
>	URL: http://
>	Project: Operations
>	Issue Type: Task
>	Components: Literatum Site Operations
>	Reporter:
>	Assignee:
>	Priority: Medium
>	
	Immary: I turned the sessions by time abuse rule on to in the week after the MIT abuse recurred and the 0 by hour caught nothing.

> Rule: 1000 sessions per IP per hour = permanent block

> Caught a fish in Southwest Jiatong University who were in the middle of a "trial". Only one of their many IPs that also got busted by the 300 PDF download rule. We shut down the trial and slapped them on the wrist, but I digress.

> Impact: None right now, that IP can't access JSTOR and they aren't participants, but I am reticent to implement the rule until we can unblock those that get jacked. I believe we could get to a pretty low threshold that might catch MIT or MITesque activity, but I need to ratchet down further to get there.

> For Fun: Can the same someone take a look at the block itself. It would be helppful to have an expert opinion on whether this fired on a per server or per server center basis. Assuming we are safe in presuming it doesn't account for MDC since MIT had no issues with the 400K.

> For Style: Under what component should this live?

-

This message is automatically generated by JIRA.

If you think it was sent incorrectly contact one of the administrators: <u>http://</u>

For more information on JIRA, see: http://www.atlassian.com/software/jira