@ithaka.org> From: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 11:55 AM Sent: To: 'Heymann, Stephen (USAMA)' < Stephen. Heymann@usdoj.gov> Cc: @debevoise.com> Subject: RE: Articles Downloaded During a Particular Period Here's what we come up with for those IP addresses and time periods. October 9, 2010 (2010-10-09) 1. To IP address 18.55.5.100 between 18:24:02 and 19:08:01 - 8.549 2. To IP address 18.55.7.48 between 18:24:02 and 19:07:37 - 6,352 Also, please note that our staff member who is key to producing this data will be overseas on vacation next week and won't be very reachable. **From:** Heymann, Stephen (USAMA) [mailto:Stephen.Heymann@usdoj.gov] Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 11:36 AM To: Cc: l Subject: RE: Articles Downloaded During a Particular Period Yes, I was also looking for the total number of downloads for each of the time periods and IP addresses (but not a list of which documents were actually downloaded). From: @ithaka.org] **Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2011 9:49 AM ; Heymann, Stephen (USAMA) To: Cc: Subject: RE: Articles Downloaded During a Particular Period Steve – just to confirm, were you also looking for specific #s for these IP addresses, or just interested in our ability to identify the # of downloads during specified timeframes from the noted IP numbers? From: **Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2011 9:37 AM To: 'Heymann, Stephen (USAMA)' Subject: RE: Articles Downloaded During a Particular Period

The answer is "yes". Our logging data provides time precision at the sub-second level. We are able to identify activities between any arbitrary time bounds.

**From:** Heymann, Stephen (USAMA) [mailto:Stephen.Heymann@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 9:14 AM

To:

**Subject:** Articles Downloaded During a Particular Period

Are your logs sufficiently precise to show how many articles were downloaded to the following IP addresses between the following times on October 9, 2010 (2010-10-09)?

To IP address 18.55.5.100 between 18:24:02 and 19:08:01 To IP address 18.55.7.48 between 18:24:02 and 19:07:37

I think this information is covered by earlier subpoenas, but, again, please let me know if you disagree and need a new one.

Thank you, Steve